#### **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impressi	ons of Faculty/S <sub>I</sub>	peakers					
1	2	3	4			5	(6)
Very poor faculty					V	ery superior fa	aculty
Comments: Spencers were questions	expert in	Their ent	yect, and u	kre ab	de h	o answer	all mi
2. What Were:							
(a) The major stren	ngths of the progra	am?					
Materials	and prese	inphiors					
(b) The major wear	knesses of the pro	ogram?					
None							
3. Which Topics Do	You Feel Should	l Have Been Add	led to or Deleted	From the	e Progr	am?	
None							
					1	II ,	NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
РО	STAGE WILL BE PAI	D BY ADDRESSEE					
	USINESS FIRST CLASS MAIL PER						
AELE	E Law Enforce	ement Legal C	enter				

Idla Hadlan Halalalalalala Hadladla

Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

Please evaluate the content and quality of instruction by speaker.	Please rate them with letter grades, using:
[A = Excellent, B = Very Good, C	= Satisfactory, D = Poor].

Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content	Quality A
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content A	Quality /
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content A	Quality A
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content n	Quality 4
Wienaer Stone (Tuesday)	Content A	Quality A
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content	Quality A
Other Remarks (If Any):		
☐ Lawyer ☐ Non-Lawy	er Title (important)	Police Chief

## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impression	ons of Faculty/	Sneakers				
1. General Impression	ons of Pacuity/	эрсакста			1	
Very poor faculty	2	3	4		Very superi	or faculty
Comments: ALL  IN AN EAST  COMFORTAN  QUESTIONE  2. What Were:	PRESENTE Y TO UNIT LE ITO IN D BY "t	ERSTAND FERALG A HARSHER	WERY KUI WAY, THE WO REMA APTEMBE	MADE FEES	ETHE E	BUT SPOKEN WIRDHMEN WHEN
(a) The major stren						
		LELL ORG	ANIZEDS	F SAS	4 70 R	EAD,
(b) The major weak	chesses of the n	rogram /			A	MOINE ME
3. Which Topics Do	You Feel Shou	ld Have Been Add	led to or Deleted	From the Pi	rogram?	(20)
ing my	opition	I, Norte	· Park	The second second		
						NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
POS	STAGE WILL BE PA	AID BY ADDRESSEE				
		S REPLY N ERMIT NO. 1544 PARK F				

AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center 841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

A = Excellent	, B = Very Good, C = Satisfactory,	D = Poorj.
Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content	Quality
	i staličiji ozgog <mark>daljima</mark> n čgi jest postosi April na Stour Sanding Zalika od postoje	Backs that appears of Lorent Con-
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content	Quąlity
	*	A
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content	Quality
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content	Quality
Thousand the	all states and town seed ozek	almost terminal retropolita pera pre-
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content	Quality
Frank and File (1981)		
her Remarks (If Any):	ple; powder poul	T COULD HAVE MORE
COLORO & MOTION TO PAFFICULTY 13 ATTENDO	LG CLASS WHILE	IN VEGAS. THANK YOU
☐ Lawyer ✓ Non-Lawyer		CAPTAIN COMMINER.
	1 (	

### **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1 Con	neral Impressions of Fact	ulty/Spackars				
1. Gen	or race		4			
Very p	poor faculty	3	4		Very superi	or faculty
Comm Goo Tivi	nents: TO PLACE TO HO TORMED	9NE TRAINING	- ALL SDET	HEES	were	WEZL
2. Wh	at Were:					
(a) T	The major strengths of the	program? Sub Suci	5. COVERE	AND	QUALTI	TY OF SPEAN
(b) 1	The major weaknesses of t	he program? — NoM	E			
	ich Topics Do You Feel S AUC GELAT SULS ID HAVE A 4					i-Chrises
	POSTAGE WILL	BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE				NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
	BUSINE	ESS REPLY M				

3

AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center 841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

Please evaluate the content and quality of instruction b	by speaker. Please rate them with letter grades, using:
[A = Excellent, B = Ver	ry Good, C = Satisfactory, D = Poor].

Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	UTSCEPLENE E TWEENAL ENVESTEGATIONS	Quality
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	ADM TNYSTRATIVE TANKSTICATI	Quality
	VILLINI VINESTRIJITVE IJAJUESTILIONIN	us //
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content REGHTS EMPLOYEE DISCEPLENARY)	Quality
	AMPLOYEE DISCEPLENARY	77
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	NAV = 617 TEN Content PTH OF	Quality
	NAVEGATEN Content RIU OF REGITTS	B
B WAS GIVEN	- CAUSE IT'S A HARD O	RY SUBSETT TO
COVER.		
COVER.	- CAUSE TT'S A HARD O	
COVER.		
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)		
	ENTERNAL Content DESCEPTIONE PROCESS ! AND	
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)		
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)		
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)		

## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1 2 3 4 5 © Very poor faculty  Comments:  THE TRAINING 3 EXPERIENCE OF THE FACULTY IS STAGGERING AND I HAVE LITTLE DOUBT THAT THERE ARE MORE  COMPETENT INSTRUCTORS ANYWHERE.  2. What Were:  (a) The major strengths of the program? DEPTH 3 BREADTH OF FACULTY.  (b) The major weaknesses of the program? SOME SEGMENTS FEEL LIKE THEY RUN LONG. (IE. 1 <sup>ST</sup> AMENDMENT)  3. Which Topics Do You Feel Should Have Been Added to or Deleted From the Program? NJA  POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE  BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 1544 PARK RIDGE, IL										
Very superior faculty  Comments:  THE TRAINING 3 EXPERIENCE OF THE FACULTY IS STAGGERING AND I HAVE LITTLE DOUBT THAT THERE ARE MORE  COMPETENT INSTRUCTORS ANYWHERE.  2. What Were:  (a) The major strengths of the program? DEPTH 3 BREADTH OF FACULTY.  (b) The major weaknesses of the program? Some SEGMENTS FEEL LIKE THEY  RUN LONG. (IF. 1 <sup>ST</sup> AMENDMENT)  3. Which Topics Do You Feel Should Have Been Added to or Deleted From the Program? NJA  POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE  BUSINESS REPLY MAIL	1. General Imp	ressions of Facult	y/Speakers							
Very superior faculty  Comments:  THE TRAINING 3 EXPERIENCE OF THE FACULTY IS STAGGERING AND I HAVE LITTLE DOUBT THAT THERE ARE MORE  COMPETENT INSTRUCTORS ANYWHERE.  2. What Were:  (a) The major strengths of the program? DEPTH 3 BREADTH OF FACULTY.  (b) The major weaknesses of the program? Some SEGMENTS FEEL LIKE THEY  RUN LONG. (IF. 1 <sup>ST</sup> AMENDMENT)  3. Which Topics Do You Feel Should Have Been Added to or Deleted From the Program? NJA  POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE  BUSINESS REPLY MAIL	1	2	3	unana Y	4			5		6
THE TRAINING 3 EXPERIENCE OF THE FACULTY IS STAUBLING AND I HAVE LITTLE DOUBT THAT THERE ARE MORE COMPETENT INSTRUCTORS ANYWHERE.  2. What Were:  (a) The major strengths of the program? DEPTH 3 BREADTH OF FACULTY.  (b) The major weaknesses of the program? SOME SEGMENTS FEEL LIKE THEY RUN LONG. (IE. 1 <sup>3T</sup> AMENDMENT)  3. Which Topics Do You Feel Should Have Been Added to or Deleted From the Program? NJA  POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE  BUSINESS REPLY MAIL	Very poor facul	ty						Very sup	erior facu	lty
POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES  BUSINESS REPLY MAIL	THE TRA AND I Compete Compete 2. What Were:  (a) The major  (b) The major	strengths of the progression weaknesses of the	rructors rogram? DEPTH e program? Some Run	3 BRE SEAU LONG	ADTH AENTS . (IE.	Final Strategies	FELL	LII	IY. KE MEN	
AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center		BUSINES FIRST CLASS MAIL	SS REPLY PARK	RIDGE, IL		1	1		IF	OSTAGE CESSARY MAILED IN THE

Idlalladladladdaladaladdaladdal

Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

4

	, b - very dood, c - Satisfactory,	
Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content A	Quality A
		0 114
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content	Quality A
AND STREET	A SAF TO ADDRESS.	AND SOME TO AND
	SHEANIA BANDA	
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content	Quality A
7,	10324348 5 11736	
Comment and The	<u> </u>	
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content B	Quality B
		ment are permitted and all the
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content B	Quality
Othor Domoules (If Ame)		
Other Remarks (If Any):		
☐ Lawyer ☐ Non-Lawyer	Title (important)	

### **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impressions of Faculty/Speakers	
1 2 3 4 5 Very poor faculty	6 erior faculty
Comments: Use of Videos should be used	
to illustrate concepts	
2. What Were:	
(a) The major strengths of the program?	
Good subject matter content	
(b) The major weaknesses of the program?	
Too much time devoted to discussing	
basic I/A Prosedures	
3. Which Topics Do You Feel Should Have Been Added to or Deleted From the Program?	
See Notes above and on apposite side	The second
	NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE	OMILES OF THE
BUSINESS REPLY MAIL	

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 1544 PARK RIDGE, IL

AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center 841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

5

$[\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Excel}]$	llent, B = Very Good, G	C = Satisfactory, D	= Poor].			
Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Conter	nt	A-	Quality		
Proj: Valuable inf	amation and	case &a	emples			
Cons: Too much u	so of profani	ty.				
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Conter	nt		Quality A		
Pros: Erect attention grabbing Generse of his						
Pros: Creat attention grabbing Kereise of his research about usues facing members of the andience						
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Conter			Quality B+		
Pres: Cood review of due process						
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Conter	nt		Quality A —		
Pros: Goods	Aplemention	n of Prote	ected &	peech		
Cons: Limite	of interacti	on with	andre	ence		
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Conte	ıt		Quality B		
Good delivery	ofa Num	bers'asy	pect of	0		
Good delivery of a "Numbers" aspects of the ITA process						
Other Remarks (If Any): Less emphasis on California and						
Other Remarks (If Any): hess emphasis on California and Broader references to other states. There are 49 other states to know about.						
☐ Lawyer Non-Lawy	er	Title (important) A	TLANTA S	FIRE ADVOCATE		

## **ACTIVITY EVALUATION FORM FOR CALIFORNIA MCLE**

Please complete and return	to Provider (Please Print)		
Provider Name	AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center	Provider Number 1043	
Provider Phone Number	(800) 763-2802		
Provider Address	841 W. Touhy Ave. Park Ridge, IL 60068-3351		
Title of Activity	Discipline & Internal Investigations		

Date(s) of Activity December 15-17, 2014

Time of Activity

**Location of Activity** 

Las Vegas, Nevada

Directions: Please mark the appropriate box to indicate your evaluation of this course.	YES	NO
1. Did this program meet your educational objectives?	M.	[ ]
Comments:		
2. Did the environment have a positive influence on your learning experience?	<b>√</b> ı	[ ]
Comments:		
3. Were you provided with substantive written materials?	#(1	[ ]
Comments:	•	
4. Did the course update or keep you informed of your legal responsibilities?	'P\(\cdot\)	[ ]
Comments:	,	
5. Did the activity contain significant current professional content?	J.	[ ]
Comments:		

## Please rate the faculty on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 being the lowest; 5 being the highest).

	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	Effectiveness of Teaching Methods	Significant Current Knowledge of Subject
Instructor's Name TUTT Subject/Topic Comments:	<b>6</b> 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1
Instructor's Name Pecarc Subject/Topic	5 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1
Instructor's Name Subject/Topic Comments:	5 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1	5 4 3 2 1
Name of Participant (optional)  First	Last Style		

MCLE Activity Evaluation 2008-1



## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impres	sions of Faculty/S	peakers			
1	2	3	4	(5)	6
Very poor faculty				Very super	
Comments:	0	, ,			1 - 1
The quality	and compe	tencies of 4	he instruct	os was ext	ellert.
All are &	sperior ins	tencies of t	d commun	cate well	
2. What Were:					
(a) The major str Ron Sen	rengths of the programmer's court	ram? Se was ver	interesting.		
60	eaknesses of the pr Le agantition without the Lon Sench	ogram?  Es should of  Final speaker.  Oz to Jose of  d Have Been Added	lose the content to or Deleted From	orference, M gripe but to ethe Program?	t should not
5. Which Topics L	10 Tou Feet Shoul	d Have Been Added	16	Charles of	s - ds
wate by t	e transperment	examples included an real w	ding potentia	1 tutur istic o	Special
Have Ron	expend mor	n a real w	orld audit	s and issue	s 40md,
					NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
F	POSTAGE WILL BE PA	ID BY ADDRESSEE			
		REPLY MA	This could be a second of the		V 1. 2017 - 1. 12

7

AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center 841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

[A = Excel	ient, B = very Good, C - Satisfactory, I						
Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content	Quality					
Emory provided a would have lived to stry departments.							
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content	Quality					
Jack's approach to finding internal, department usues us unique centertaining & informative.							
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content	Quality					
Paul is a very dynamic speaker. I would highly recommend his course of instruction.							
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content	Quality					
a few examples of wh	I enjoyed Mikis course but I would have liked to see a few examples of where he saw future cases going in regards to social media, the discovery of older information on officers, and some never technologies IA will likely deal with in the future regarding IA						
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content	Quality					
I really enjoyed Ron's class. The discussion of audits within lift was excellent. I would enjoyed bearing more. It's personal experiences added with his instruction were honest other Remarks (If Any): and provided excellent examples.  I am very glad I adended this training. HELES reputation for excellent instruction is well deserved. Place have a closing to the conference. It felt like when the floor was done, it was not imposent to adenately.							
within UA was exce His personal experiences Other Remarks (If Any): and provi	ilent. I would entrayed added with his insteaded with his insteaded excellent examples.	hearing more. Notion were houst					

## **Evaluation Form**

Instructions: To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. Circle the number you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impressions of Faculty	//Speakers			
1 2	3	4	5	6
Very poor faculty			Very sup	perior faculty
Comments:				
		1111		
2. What Were:				
		15.1	- to ten	
(a) The major strengths of the pro	ogram? () ell	DUA litied	Z N 5 TRUCTURE	and the same
(b) The major weaknesses of the	program? No +	enough e	nphosis on	SMA 11
to med	Jiun depr	introv ts.		
3. Which Topics Do You Feel Sho	ould Have Been Add	ed to or Deleted F	rom the Program?	
Find A	WAY	to 69	LEATE 1	
	1 00	and de	wifies '	
Netwo	way	pore		
/	J. Samuel			
				NO POSTAGE NECESSARY
				IF MAILED IN THE
DOOTAGE WILL BE	DAID DV ADDDECOEF			UNITED STATES
POSTAGE WILL BE	PAID BY ADDRESSEE			
BUSINES	SS REPLY N	/IAIL		THE RESERVE TO SERVE

**AELE Law Enforcement Legal Center** 841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 1544 PARK RIDGE, IL



		<b>6</b>	
5,40		Lawyer Hon-Lawye	
	Into For SMAIN.	0061	Оғись
	LAW ~ Gitatussaga		
Quality	Content	Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	
	A lesol saw noto-when		
ytilanQ 8	Content Z	Michael Stone (Tuesday)	
		j. twollows	
Villau Q	Content	Paul Coble (Tuesday)	
100 (2450 240 .	witedsohl, presentin	INS tructor very kn Very Bushosins	
	sesentation at 4/12.	Time to update go	
Valleu Q	Content	Ласк Ресогато (Monday)	
8/	H	C	

## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impressions of Faculty/S	Speakers						
1 2	3	4			5	6	
Very poor faculty					Very supe	erior faculty	
Comments:							
2. What Were:							
(a) The major strengths of the prog	gram?						
(b) The major weaknesses of the pr	rogram?						
(b) The major weathlesses of the p	.05						
3. Which Topics Do You Feel Shou	ld Have Been Ad	ded to or Deleted	From th	e Prog	gram?		
			11 1		II	NO	1
					"	POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED	
						IN THE UNITED STATES	
POSTAGE WILL BE PA	AID BY ADDRESSEE		i				_
BUSINES		The state of the s					
FIRST CLASS MAIL P	ERMIT NO. 1544 PARK	RIDGE, IL					
AELE Law Enforce		Center					
841 Wes	t Touhy Ave						-
Park Ridge,	IL 60068-9811						

Idla Hadlan Hadalah dalah dala

Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Con		Quality C
Needs to be	more anima	ted or inv	olve audience more.
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Con	tent	Quality
	A		A
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Con	tent	Quality
		A	A
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Con	tent A	Quality A
the Michael kept a	dry subject		and
THE PERSON I	419 343 3221	"Telesting	
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Con	tent	Quality
	A	1 /	A
very interactive. 7	Was very	relavent-	
Other Remarks (If Any):			
omer remarks (if my).			
			PRINCIPAL TORRA
☐ Lawyer ☒ Non-Lawy	er	Title (important)	Police Officer

## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. Gene	eral Impressions of Facult	y/Speakers						
1	2	3	4				(5)	6
Very po	oor faculty					V	ery sup	erior faculty
Comme	ents:							
2. Wha	t Were:	inst install						
(a) Tl	he major strengths of the pr	rogram?						
(b) T	he major weaknesses of the	e program?						
3. Whic	ch Topics Do You Feel Sh	ould Have Been Added	to or Deleted	From	the P	rogr	am?	
	Non							
	1000							
				II	1		II	NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES
	POSTAGE WILL BE	PAID BY ADDRESSEE						
		SS REPLY M. PERMIT NO. 1544 PARK RID						
		orcement Legal Cer est Touhy Ave	nter					

10

Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content	Quality			
The state of the s	A	A			
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content	Quality			
	A	A			
Paul Cable (Tuesday)	Contont	Oweller			
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content	Quality			
	A	A			
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content	Quality			
Trienter Stone (Tuesday)					
	B	$\mathcal{B}$			
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content	Quality			
	A	A			
Other Remarks (If Any):					
		( - 1 - 1			
☐ Lawyer ☐ Non-Lawy	rer Title (important)	Lievtenand			

## **Evaluation Form**

**Instructions:** To simplify your evaluation of the program, a linear scale is utilized. Note the two descriptions at each end of the scale; the one at the right for the best rating, and the one at the left for the poorest rating. **Circle the number** you feel is most appropriate. After you have done this, please write in any additional comments that would help us improve the program.

1. General Impressions	s of Faculty/Spe	akers				
1	,	3		4	(5)	6
Very poor faculty				4	Very superior	or faculty
Comments:						
2. What Were:		Y.				
(a) The major strength	hs of the program	1?	A: naiv	a ed.	, especially	for
6 100 -	ller depai	reat for a	CONTINO	J		
(b) The major weakne	esses of the progr	ram?			out earlier	AT The
Cut	lowch b	y 20 min	utes Z	let	001	
end	of The	day				
3. Which Topics Do Yo						
· IA	INVESTIGA	TION IN	MPACTE	p wh	en contra	ching LE
	6 other	OCAN	12ATIO	NIC-		
ser vices	INVESTIGATO	ood Impli	CATIONS	; ? I.	nterviewng /	up lications
	CONTRACT	implicaTII	ons -			
	Garrity	for contra	actors?		s private	NO POSTAGE
	- (	Contractor 7	governm	ientos c	is private	NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE
DOCT						UNITED STATES
	AGE WILL BE PAID E					
		REPLY M T NO. 1544 PARK RID				: 1 /1
AFLET	aw Enforcem	ent Legal Cei	nter			

841 West Touhy Ave Park Ridge, IL 60068-9811

1)

Please evaluate the content and quality of instruction by speaker. Please rate them with letter grades, using:

Emory A. Plitt, Jr. (Monday)	Content	Quality
B₩	Het sit, need to take på 26 moviteer intro Die gestimmenske et hit soots was no	
Jack Pecoraro (Monday)	Content	Quality
В		
Paul Coble (Tuesday)	Content	Quality
B+	The table of the said	
Michael Stone (Tuesday)	Content	Quality
B+	of fine of section with a med model to	hatpit teet in a decimal territoria
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)	Content	Quality
	Content	Quality
Ron Sanchez (Wednesday)  8+	Content	Quality
<i>B</i> ÷	Zamento in a	
<i>B</i> ÷	Zamento in a	
8+	A STATE OF THE STA	